

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/522,563	03/10/2000	Kenneth F. Cook	13DV13190	5583
29399	7590 07/30/2002			
JOHN S. BEULICK			EXAMINER	
C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE			PATEL, PARESH H	
SUITE 2600 ST LOUIS 1	MO 63102-2740		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
51. 20015, 1			2829	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Robert B. Deeser III 314-621-5065

			1/		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>;</i> -		
	09/522,563	COOK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paresh Patel	2829			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	s		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commur ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	May 2002 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims			erits is		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>13 Ma</u>		o) disapproved by the Exam	iner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	Э		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional appl	ication).		
 a) The translation of the foreign language provides 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. 	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/522,563

4 Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 are have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

As to Claim 1, U.S. Patent No. 6205009 discloses in claims 1, 3, 4 and 6: a method comprising the step of summing the voltages (obtaining a sum); determine a difference between a current value (first filter output) of the summed voltage value to a reference value (second filter output); and if absolute value (determining an absolute

Application/Control Number: 09/522,563

Art Unit: 2829

value) of difference exceeds a freeze threshold (a threshold), then maintaining a reference value constant (a fixed value).

Claim 2 is suggested by claims 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

Claim 3 is suggested by claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

Claim 4 is suggested by claims 3 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

Claim 5 is suggested by claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

As to Claims 6 and 15, U.S. Patent No. 6205009 discloses in claims 9, 11 and 12: an apparatus comprising: a short term filter (a first filter); a long term filter (a second filter); and a summer (a summer).

Claim 7 is suggested by claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

Claim 8 is suggested by claim 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

Claim 9 is suggested by claim 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

Claim 10 is suggested by claim 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

Claim 11 is suggested by claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

Claim 12 is suggested by claim 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

Claims 13 and 18 are suggested by claim 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

Claim 14 is suggested by claims 11 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

Claims 16 and 17 are suggested by claim 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6205009.

Please note that the recitation detecting fault in a transducer including a secondary winding having at least two voltages in has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded

Application/Control Number: 09/522,563

Art Unit: 2829

any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paresh Patel whose telephone number is 703-306-5859. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 to 4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 703-308-1680.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Paresh Patel July 24, 2002

MHCHAEL SHERRY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800